

A Function on Exponential Convergence in a Fréchet Metric Space

D.K. GANGULY, BABLU BISWAS

Department of Pure Mathematics, 35, Ballygunge Circular road, Calcutta-700019, India
gangulydk@yahoo.co.in, bablubiswas100@yahoo.com

Presented by Manuel Maestre

Received June 3, 2010

Abstract: This paper deals with some fundamental properties of a function defined on exponential convergence connecting with a monotonic increasing divergent sequence in a Fréchet metric space.

Key words: Borel classification of sets, first category, Baire class of sets, Lebesgue Measure and dense set.

AMS *Subject Class.* (2010): 40A05

1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [1] the author investigated some properties on the exponential convergence of all real non-decreasing sequences. Being inspired by this paper we consider a positive non-decreasing sequence $\{a_n\}_n$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = +\infty$ and we denote $A = \{a_n\}_n$. Let \mathbf{S} be the collection of all the infinite subsequences of A . We consider \mathbf{S} as a metric space endowed with the Fréchet metric $d(x, y)$ given by,

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{|x_k - y_k|}{1 + |x_k - y_k|}$$

where $x = \{x_k\}$, $y = \{y_k\} \in \mathbf{S}$. The convergence in this space is considered as point-wise convergence. It is well known from the monograph [2] that for any monotonic increasing divergent sequence $A = \{a_n\}_n$, $a_n > 0$ there exists a unique real number $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-\sigma} = +\infty, \quad \text{for each } \sigma < \lambda$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-\sigma} < +\infty, \quad \text{for each } \sigma > \lambda,$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a real number.

Here the number $\lambda = \lambda(A)$ is called the exponent of convergence of the sequence A . It is formulated by

$$\inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-\sigma} < +\infty \right\} = \lambda.$$

It is known [3] that

$$\lambda(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log a_n}.$$

We now consider a function $\lambda : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow [0, \lambda(A)]$ defined as

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda(A(x)) = \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}^{-\sigma} < +\infty \right\},$$

where $x = \{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$. It is clear that $\lambda(x) = \lambda(A(x)) \leq \lambda(A)$ for every $x \in \mathbf{S}$.

2. SOME SET THEORETIC PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION λ .

THEOREM 2.1. *The function $\lambda : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow [0, \lambda(A)]$ is onto but not one to one.*

Proof. Case 1: Let $t = 0$. We can choose $x = \{a_{k_n}\}$ in \mathbf{S} such that $a_{k_n} > n^n$ for each natural number n . Then $\lambda(x) = t$.

Case 2: For $t = \lambda(A)$ clearly we choose $x = \{a_n\}$ so that $\lambda(x) = t$.

Case 3: Let $t \in (0, \lambda(A))$. We have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log(a_{l+k})} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(l+k)}{\log(a_{l+k})} \cdot \frac{\log k}{\log(l+k)} = \lambda(A) > t, \quad (1)$$

for any natural number l .

Now we can choose an integer $P_1 \geq 1$ such that $a_{P_1+2} > 1$ and $\frac{\log 2}{\log(a_{P_1+2})} < t$. By the result (1) we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log(a_{P_1+k})} > t.$$

Then there exists a least positive integer $P_2 > 2$ such that $\frac{\log P_2}{\log(a_{P_1+P_2})} \geq t$. So for each n with $2 \leq n < P_2$ we have $\frac{\log n}{\log(a_{P_1+n})} < t$. Again choose $P_3 >$

$\max\{P_1, P_2\}$ so that $\frac{\log(P_2+1)}{\log(a_{P_2+P_3+1})} < t$. Result (1) implies that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k}{\log(a_{P_3+k})} > t.$$

Then there exists a least positive integer $P_4 > P_3$ such that $\frac{\log P_4}{\log(a_{P_3+P_4})} \geq t$. Then for $P_2 < n < P_4$ we have $\frac{\log n}{\log(a_{P_3+n})} < t$. Proceeding this way we construct a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of natural numbers such that

$$\frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} \geq t, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\frac{\log n}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+n})} < t,$$

for $P_{2i-2} < n < P_{2i}$, $i = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $P_{2i+1} > \max\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{2i}\}$. Now for $i \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} - \frac{\log(P_{2i}-1)}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}-1})} \\ &\leq \frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} - \frac{\log(P_{2i}-1)}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} \\ &= \frac{\log \frac{P_{2i}}{P_{2i}-1}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly,

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log P_{2i}}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}})} = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(P_{2i}-1)}{\log(a_{P_{2i-1}+P_{2i}-1})} = t.$$

So if we choose $x = \{a_{k_n}\}$ where,

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= P_1, \quad k_2 = P_1 + 2, \quad k_3 = P_1 + 3, \quad \dots, \quad k_{P_2-1} = P_1 + P_2 - 1, \\ k_{P_2} &= P_1 + P_2, \quad k_{P_2+1} = P_2 + P_3 + 1, \quad \dots, \quad k_{P_4-1} = P_3 + P_4 - 1, \\ &\dots \\ k_{P_{2i}} &= P_{2i-1} + P_{2i}, \quad k_{P_{2i}+1} = P_{2i} + P_{2i+1} + 1, \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\log(a_{k_n})} = t, \quad \text{i.e., } \lambda(x) = t.$$

We now show that λ is not one to one.

Let $a \in [0, \lambda(A)]$. Then there exists $x = \{a_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $\lambda(x) = a$, i.e.,

$$a = \inf\{\sigma > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}^{-\sigma} < +\infty\}.$$

Let $y_k = a_{n_{k+1}}$, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then $y = \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{S}$. Clearly

$$\inf\{\sigma > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k^{-\sigma} < +\infty\} = a, \text{ i.e., } \lambda(y) = a.$$

So $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ when $x \neq y$. Therefore λ is not one to one. ■

We are interested about the measurability of the function λ . For this purpose here we shall study some properties in terms of Borel classification and Baire category of the level sets of λ defined as follows:

$$K_t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) \leq t\}; \quad K^t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) > t\},$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *The set $K_t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) \leq t\}$*

- (i) *belongs to the second multiplicative Borel class for each $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.*
- (ii) *is dense in \mathbf{S} for $0 \leq t \leq \lambda(A)$.*
- (iii) *is of first category for $t < \lambda(A)$.*

Proof. (i) If $t < 0$, then $K_t = \phi$ and K_t belongs to the second multiplicative Borel class. Let $t \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_t &= \{x = \{x_k\} = \{a_{n_k}\} \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) \leq t\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{x \in \mathbf{S} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} < +\infty\right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} S(m, i, p, r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(m, i, p, r) = \left\{x \in \mathbf{S} : \sum_{k=i}^{i+p} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} \leq \frac{1}{r}\right\}.$$

Let $x^{(r)} = \{x_k^{(r)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in S(m, i, p, r)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} x^{(r)} = x$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \{x_k^{(r)}\}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} = x_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})}$$

for each $k = i, i+1, i+2, \dots, i+p$ whence $x \in S(m, i, p, r)$. Consequently each set $S(m, i, p, r)$ is closed. This proves that K_t is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set. Hence the set K_t belongs to the second multiplicative Borel class.

(ii) We show that K_t is dense in \mathbf{S} for $0 \leq t \leq \lambda(A)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} K_t &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} S(m, i, p, r) \\ &= \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F(m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(m) = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{S} : \exists_{i=1}^{\infty} \forall_{p=1}^{\infty} \forall_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{i+p} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} \leq \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

Let $y = \{a_{p_k}\} \in \mathbf{S}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the open ball $S(y, \varepsilon)$ with centre at y and ε as the radius. Let l be the smallest positive integer such that $\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} 1/2^i < \varepsilon$. For $t \geq 0$ let s_1 be the least positive integer such that $2^{\frac{s_1}{t+1}} > a_{p_l}$. Now choose the least positive integer q_1 such that $a_{q_1} > 2^{\frac{s_1}{t+1}}$, $a_{q_1} \in A$. Again let s_2 be the least positive integer such that $2^{\frac{s_2}{t+1}} > a_{q_1}$ and further we can choose the least positive integer q_2 with $a_{q_2} > 2^{\frac{s_2}{t+1}}$, $a_{q_2} \in A$ and proceeding this way we have two subsequences $\{s_k\}$ and $\{q_k\}$ of natural numbers such that

$$a_{q_k} > 2^{\frac{s_k}{t+1}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Consider the sequence $z = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$z_i = a_{p_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad z_{l+k} = a_{q_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then it can be verified that $d(y, z) < \varepsilon$ and hence $z \in S(y, \varepsilon)$. Again

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^l a_{p_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{-s_k(t+\frac{1}{m})}{t+1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^l a_{p_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^\alpha}\right)^{s_k}, \quad \alpha = \frac{t+\frac{1}{m}}{t+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^l a_{p_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^\alpha}\right)^k \\ &< \infty, \text{ since } 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $z \in F(m)$ and then $z \in F(m) \cap S(y, \varepsilon)$. Therefore, $F(m)$ is dense in \mathbf{S} and consequently K_t is dense in \mathbf{S} .

(iii) If $t < \lambda(A)$ we show that the set K_t is of first category.

Case 1: If $t < 0$, then $K_t = \phi$ and so K_t is of first category.

Case 2: Let $0 \leq t < \lambda(A)$. Since $t < \lambda(A)$, then there exists a natural number m_0 such that $t + \frac{1}{m} < \lambda(A)$ for all $m \geq m_0$. So we have

$$K_t = \bigcup_{m=m_0}^{\infty} F(m, r),$$

where

$$F(m, r) = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{S} : \exists_{i=1}^{\infty} \forall_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=i}^{i+p} a_{n_k}^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} \leq \frac{1}{r} \right\}.$$

In order to show that $F(m, r)$ is of first category in \mathbf{S} , it is sufficient to show that $F(m, r)$ is an F_σ set and its complement is dense in \mathbf{S} . Let $y = \{a_{p_k}\} \in \mathbf{S}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the open ball $S(y, \varepsilon)$ with centre at y and ε as the the radius. Let l be the smallest positive integer such that $\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} 1/2^i < \varepsilon$. Now we choose the smallest positive integer s so that $a_s > a_{p_l}$. Define a sequence $u = \{u_k\}$ in \mathbf{S} as follows:

$$u_i = a_{p_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad u_{l+k} = a_{s+k}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It is clear that $u \in S(y, \varepsilon)$ and for every positive integer i there exist integer p such that

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{i+p} u_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})} > \frac{1}{r},$$

since the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{-(t+\frac{1}{m})}$$

is divergent for $(t + \frac{1}{m}) < \lambda(A)$. Thus the complement of $F(m, r)$ is dense in \mathbf{S} . Also each set $S(m, i, p, r)$ is closed and hence

$$F(m, r) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} S(m, i, p, r)$$

is an F_{σ} set. Since every set $F(m, r)$ is of first category hence

$$K_t = \bigcup_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{r=1}^{\infty} F(m, r)$$

is of first category in \mathbf{S} . ■

COROLLARY 2.3. *For each $t \in \mathbf{R}$ the set $K^t = \{x \in \mathbf{S} : \lambda(x) > t\}$ belongs to the second additive Borel class.*

Proof. It follows from the fact that $K^t = \mathbf{S} - K_t$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. *The function λ is Lebesgue measurable on \mathbf{S}*

Proof. Here \mathbf{S} is a subset of $[a_1, \infty)^{\mathbf{N}}$. Using Fubini's theorem we have from theorem 2.2 that λ is Lebesgue measurable. ■

THEOREM 2.5. *The function λ is discontinuous everywhere in \mathbf{S} .*

Proof. Let $b \in \mathbf{S}$ and $b = \{a_{p_1}, a_{p_2}, a_{p_3}, \dots\}$. We can choose a sequence $c = \{a_{q_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $\lambda(b) \neq \lambda(c)$. Let $\delta > 0$. It is sufficient to show that there exists a point z in the open ball $S(b, \delta)$ such that $\lambda(z) = \lambda(c)$. For $\delta > 0$ let l be the smallest positive integer such that $\sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} 1/2^i < \delta$. Now we consider the sequence $z = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{S}$ as follows:

$$z_k = \begin{cases} a_{p_k} & \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, l \\ a_{q_k} & \text{for } k > l. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $z \in S(b, \delta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda(z) &= \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_{p_i}^{-\sigma} + \sum_{i=l+1}^{\infty} a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} \right) < \infty \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_{p_i}^{-\sigma} - \sum_{i=1}^l a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} \right) < \infty \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \sigma > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{q_i}^{-\sigma} < \infty \right\}; \text{ (since the sum in the first bracket is finite)} \\
 &= \lambda(c).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence λ is discontinuous everywhere in \mathbf{S} . ■

COROLLARY 2.6. *The function λ is not a Darboux function.*

REFERENCES

- [1] P. KOSTYRKO, T. SALAT, On the exponent of convergence, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser II* **31**, (1982), 187–194.
- [2] G. POLYA, G. SZEGO “Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - New York, 1964.
- [3] R. SIKORSKI, “Funkcje Rzeczywiste Vol I”, PWN, Warszawa, 1958.