

Erratum: Transport properties for driven granular fluids in situations close to homogeneous steady states [Phys. Rev. E **87, 032201 (2013)]**

Vicente Garzó, Moisés G. Chamorro, and Francisco Vega Reyes
(Received 10 May 2013; published 17 May 2013)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.87.059906](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.87.059906) PACS number(s): 45.70.Mg, 05.20.Dd, 51.10.+y, 05.60.-k, 99.10.Cd

While we were working on the extension of our results to binary mixtures, we have realized that the scaled function φ defined in Eq. (46) also depends on the dimensionless parameter

$$\theta \equiv \beta \left(\frac{m\xi_b^2}{n\sigma^{d-1}T_b\sqrt{2T_b/m}} \right)^{2/3}, \quad (1)$$

where the bath temperature T_b is defined in Eq. (45) as $\gamma_b T_b = \beta m^2 \xi_b^2$. Note that $\theta = 0$ for the stochastic thermostat ($\beta = 0$). The dependence of φ on θ changes only some expressions derived in the first-order approximation. Therefore, the last line of Eqs. (54) and (B15) should read

$$+ \left[\phi \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \left(\frac{\zeta^{(0)}}{\chi} \right) - \xi^* \frac{\partial \zeta^{(0)}}{\partial \xi^*} - \frac{2}{3} \theta \frac{\partial \zeta^{(0)}}{\partial \theta} \right] \mathcal{A}. \quad (2)$$

The difference is in the inclusion of the term proportional to $\partial \zeta^{(0)} / \partial \theta$. Moreover, Eq. (B10) should be replaced by

$$n \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial n} = f^{(0)} - \xi^* \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \xi^*} - \frac{2}{3} \theta \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \theta} + \phi \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \chi}, \quad (3)$$

and the third line of Eq. (B18) should read

$$- \left[\phi \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \left(\frac{\zeta^{(0)}}{\chi} \right) - \xi^* \frac{\partial \zeta^{(0)}}{\partial \xi^*} - \frac{2}{3} \theta \frac{\partial \zeta^{(0)}}{\partial \theta} \right] \nabla \ln n. \quad (4)$$

All the above changes affect in principle the expressions of the coefficients μ and ζ_{11} . However, given that the above new contributions to those coefficients are in general very small, they can be neglected in the final forms of μ and ζ_{11} . Consequently, the main conclusions of the paper detailed in Sec. V remain unchanged.

The authors are indebted to Nagi Khalil for pointing out the new dependence to us.